

# Lecture 4: Causality and Analyticity

- Microscopical Causality in local QFT
- Analyticity from Causality
- Dispersion Relation for forward scattering amplitude
- Källen – Lehmann representation for propagator
- Jost-Lehmann-Dyson repres'n; virtual scattering
- Källen–Lehmann representation, invariant coupling

# Microscopical Causality in local QFT

The Fourier image

$$F(E) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itE} A(t) dt \quad (1)$$

of forward scattering amplitude subdue to non-relativistic “causality condition”

$$A(t) = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad t < 0$$

can be analytically continued from real  $E$  values to upper half plane  $Q \rightarrow z = E + i\xi$ ;  $\xi = \Im m z > 0$  as in integrand

$$F(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{itE - t\xi} A(t) dt \quad (2)$$

factor  $e^{-t\xi}$  provides convergence.

# Microscopical Causality in local QFT

Due to **Analyticity**, one can use Cauchy theorem

for

$$F(E) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itE} A(t) dt \quad (3)$$

with integration contour  $\Gamma$  in the upper half-plane

$$\oint_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z')}{z' - z} dz' = 0$$

and get **Dispersion Relation**

$$\Re f(E) = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Im f(E')}{E - E'} dE' = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\pi} \int_m^{\infty} \frac{k\sigma(E')}{E - E'} dE'$$

connecting two observable functions.

# Causality and Dispersion Relation

In obtaining this Dispersion Relation for forward scattering amplitude

$$\Re f(E) = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{\pi} \int_m^\infty \frac{k \sigma(E')}{E - E'} dE', \quad (4)$$

we assumed “good” asymptotic behavior and used Optical Theorem

$$f(z) \lesssim C/z \quad \text{as} \quad |z| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \Im f(E) = k \sigma(E).$$

In a more realistic case, one uses relativistic causality and symmetry crossing property of forward scattering.

# Causality in QFT

Causality in local QFT states that signal velocity is limited by  $c$  and formulated as **Local Commutativity** for Lagrangian

$$[\mathcal{L}(x), \mathcal{L}(y)] \equiv \mathcal{L}(x) \mathcal{L}(y) - \mathcal{L}(y) \mathcal{L}(x) = 0, \quad (5)$$

for space-like  $(x - y)^2 = (x_0 - y_0)^2 - (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^2 < 0$  intervals.

Eq.(5) is provided by **Loc. Comm.** conditions for field operators

$$[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = 0, \quad (x - y)^2 < 0. \quad (6)$$

# Stueckelberg-Feynman propagator

Along with Pauli-Willars commutator

$$D(x - y) = \frac{1}{i} \langle 0 [ (\phi(x), \phi(y)) | 0 \rangle , \quad (7)$$

vanishing outside light cone

$$D(x - y) = 0, \quad \text{at} \quad (x - y)^2 = (x_0 - y_0)^2 - (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^2 < 0. \quad (8)$$

In calculation, we use Stueckelberg-Feynman propagator

$$D_c(x - y) = D_F(x - y) = \frac{1}{i} \langle 0 | T [ \phi(x) \phi(y) ] | 0 \rangle , \quad (9)$$

the vacuum average of time-ordered product

$$T [ \phi(x) \phi(y) ]$$

## Källen – Lehmann eq. for propagator, 2

For the causal Stueckelberg-Feynman propagator the Källen–Lehmann (KL) spectral representation is

$$D_c(q^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma - q^2 - i\epsilon}. \quad (10)$$

Its “dressed” counterpart looks like

$$D_c(q^2, \alpha_s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\sigma \frac{\rho(\sigma, \alpha_s)}{\sigma - q^2 - i\epsilon} \quad (11)$$

with  $\rho(\sigma, \alpha_s)$  behaving as  $1/\ln^2 \sigma$ , that allows one to use it in the non-subtracted form.

# Jost–Lehmann–Dyson representat'n for virtual scattering

For Deep-Inelastic Scattering (DIS) probability, one uses hadronic tensor

$$W_{\mu\nu}(q, P) \sim \int dz \exp^{iq \cdot z} \left\langle P, \sigma \left| \left[ J_\mu\left(\frac{z}{2}\right), J_\nu\left(-\frac{z}{2}\right) \right] \right| P, \sigma \right\rangle \quad (12)$$

defined via current commutator. For structure functions  $W_n$ , a more involved **Jost–Lehmann–Dyson representation** holds

$$\begin{aligned} W(\nu, Q^2) &= \quad (\nu = P \cdot q > 0; Q^2 = -q^2 > 0) \\ &= \int_0^1 d\rho \rho^2 \int_{\lambda_{\min}^2}^{\infty} d\lambda^2 \int_{-1}^1 dz \delta\left(Q^2 + M^2\rho^2 + \lambda^2 - 2z\rho\sqrt{\nu^2 + M^2Q^2}\right) \psi(\rho, \lambda^2). \\ &\quad \lambda_{\min}^2 = M^2\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

It turns to be useful for formulating analyticity of the structure functions moments.



# Källen – Lehmann representation for invariant coupling

In QED, an invariant coupling = product of coupling constant and transverse photon propagator amplitude  $\bar{\alpha}(Q^2, \alpha) = \alpha d_{tr}(Q^2 = -q^2, \alpha)$ , satisfies KL eq.(10) by construction

$$\bar{\alpha}(Q^2, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\sigma \frac{\rho(\sigma, \alpha)}{\sigma + Q^2 - i\epsilon}. \quad (13)$$

As it can be shown, the QCD invariant coupling  $\bar{\alpha}_s(Q^2, \alpha_s)$  satisfies the KL representation as well

$$\bar{\alpha}_s(Q^2, \alpha_s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\sigma \frac{\rho(\sigma, \alpha_s)}{\sigma + Q^2 - i\epsilon}. \quad (14)$$

# RENORMALIZATION GROUP METHOD

**Introductory Illustration** For this, consider effective coupling  $\bar{g}$  in the UV region with 1-loop log contribution

$$\bar{g}_{PT}^{[1]}(x, g) = g + g^2 \beta \ln x. \quad (15)$$

By simple arithmetics within RG FEq.

$$\bar{g}(x, g) = \bar{g}\left(\frac{x}{t}, \bar{g}(t, g)\right). \quad (1.6)$$

one gets

$$\begin{aligned} Disc[\bar{g}_{PT}^{[1]}] &= \bar{g}_{PT}^{[1]}(x, g) - \bar{g}_{PT}^{[1]}\left(\frac{x}{t}, \bar{g}_{PT}^{[1]}(t, g)\right) = \\ &= [g + g^2 \beta \ln x] - [g + g^2 \beta \ln x + 2g^3 \beta^2 \ln t \ln(x/t)] \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- error of  $g^3$  order.

## RENORM-GROUP METHOD, 2

This error of  $g^3$  order is liquidated by adding next order term  $g^3 \beta^2 \ln^2 x$  into the r.h.s. of (15):

$$\bar{g}_{PT}^{[2]} = g + g^2 \beta \ln x + g^3 \beta^2 \ln^2 x \rightarrow Disc[\bar{g}_{PT}^{[2]}] \sim g^4 \ln^4 x.$$

This "improved" expression yields  $g^4$  error and can be killed by adding  $g^4 \ln^3$  term into (1) and so on.

Thus, on the one hand, finite polynomials cannot satisfy the condition of RG invariance. On the other, we conclude that FEq (1.6) is a tool for iterative restoring of RG-invariant expression in form of infinite series.

## RENORM-GROUP METHOD,3

This example illustrates a general situation. As a rule, approximate solutions do not satisfy RG symmetry. In our case, this is happened in UV limit at  $\ln x \rightarrow \infty$  where the observed discrepancy becomes quantitatively important. Note, that sum of mentioned iterative series is rather simple

$$\bar{g}_{PT}^{[n]} = g \sum_{k=0}^n (g \beta \ln x)^k; \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}_{PT}^{[n]} = \frac{g}{1 - g \beta \ln x}.$$

## RENORM-GROUP METHOD,3

This is famous 1-loop approximation for the effective coupling in QFT

$$\bar{g}^{(1)}(x, g) = \frac{g}{1 - g \beta \ln x}. \quad (16)$$

It is instructive exercise, to check that it exactly satisfies the FEq (1.6). At the same time, expression (16) gives birth to grave issue - the problem of unphysical pole (“Landau ghost”) at  $x = x^* = e^{1/g \beta}$